Preparing for An Administrative Review

Taquasia Hicks

Director of Monitoring

June 2025





Districts Receiving an AR in SY 25/26

Alcorn County School District Canton School District Clinton Public School District Desoto County School District Greenville Public School District Gulfport School District Hinds County Board of Supervisors Humphreys County School District Kosciusko School District Lauderdale County School District Leake County School District **Leland School District**

Lincoln County School District Long Beach School District **Louisville Municipal School District Meridian Public School District Neshoba County School District Ocean Springs School District Pascagoula School District Pearl River County School District Perry County Schools Poplarville Separate School District Quitman School District (Clark Co)**

Rankin County School District Richton Separate School District Smith County School District St. Vincent De Paul **Stone County Tate County Union County School District Wayne County School District** West Jasper Western Line School District Winona-Montgomery Consolidated School District

Yazoo County School District



This Presentation will Cover...

Administrative Review Basics and Statutory Authority

Compiling Required Documentation

Common Findings

Self Assessment (to set you up for success!)



AR Basics and Statutory Authority

What we are doing and Why we are doing it



Administrative Reviews in SY 2024-2025 AR Basics

- SA will be conducting ARs
- SFAs will be notified in advance
- Desk reviews
 - Desk reviews conducted on site
 - SA will conduct in-person site reviews which will be scheduled in advance (sensitive info and meal observations ONLY)
 - Entrance and Exit conferences with your superintendent or his/her designee will all be virtual and will be scheduled in advance





Separate Review Processes

Procurement Reviews

- Conducted by OCN, Office of School Support
- 6-Year Cycle
- FSMC (3- Year Cycle)
- For more info or TA, contact Deborah Newton (dnewton@mdek12.org)

Office of Healthy Schools Monitoring Instrument

- Conducted by OCN, Office of Healthy Schools
- May be conducted concurrently with AR of NSLP/SBP
- For more info or TA, contact the Louis King (<u>lking@mdek12.org</u>)





Statutory Authority for Administrative Reviews (AR)

- Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 (HHFKA)
- The Act also requires an accountability system that conducts Administrative Reviews to evaluate Program requirements for NSLP and SBP during a 6-year cycle.



Regulations

Federal Regulations

- 7 CFR 210.18 (NSLP)
- 7 CFR 220.13 (f) (2) (SBP)
- FNS 113-1 (Civil Rights)





Regulations

State Regulations

- MDE Board Policies, Chapter 17 (Child Nutrition)
- MDE Board Policies, Chapter 38, Rule 11 (Healthy and Safe Schools Nutrition Standards)
- MSDH Food Safety Policies (FDA Food Code 2017)





Resources

Where to find additional resources

- AR Manual
- Eligibility Manual
- FFVP Manual
- OVS Manual
- USDA Policy Memos (Partner Web)

https://www.fns.usda.gov/nslp/administrativereview-guidance-and-tools





Scope of Administrative Review

Performance Standard 1: Meal Access and Reimbursement

Performance Standard 2: Meal Pattern and Nutritional Quality

General Areas of Review



Scope of Review

Meal Access &	Meal Pattern &	Resource	General Program	Other Federal		
Reimbursement	Nutritional Quality	Management	Compliance	Program Reviews		
 Meal Counting and Claiming Verification Certification and Benefit Issuance 	 Meal Components and Quantities Infant and Pre-K Meal Pattern Offer Versus Serve Dietary Specs & Nutrient Analysis 	 Risk Assessment Nonprofit School Food Service Account Revenue from Non-Program Foods Indirect Costs USDA Foods 	 Civil Rights SFA On-Site Monitoring Local Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Professional Standards Water Availability Food Safety, Storage, & Buy American SBP & SFSP Outreach 	 Afterschool Snacks Fresh Fruit & Vegetable Program Special Milk Program 		

Critical Areas of Review: Performance Standard 1 and Performance Standard 2



Possibility of Fiscal Action

- Take Note of Findings that Can have a Monetary Penalty!
 - Performance Standard 1 (Meal Access and Reimbursement)
 - Performance Standard 2 (Meal Pattern and Nutritional Quality)
 - Repeat Findings in General Areas of Review
 - Findings <u>or</u> TA given to you on your last review which were not corrected can result in monetary penalties
- If fiscal action is assessed, funds may be recouped from future payments through a Claim Adjustment
- Failure to take corrective action can result in withholding payments



TA versus Finding

Technical Assistance (TA)

- Requires informal Corrective
 Action Plan
- No Fiscal Action
- Not listed in Public Report
- Issued to improve program operations

Finding

- Requires documented
 Corrective Action
- Potential for Fiscal Action
- Listed in Public Report
- Issued to Correct Non-Compliance





Issuing TA or Findings

Whenever possible, the SA Review will issue TA instead of a finding. However, if this is a repeat issue of noncompliance, or TA was previously issued and no action was taken, it is automatically a Finding.

The SA Review will also, whenever possible, allow the SFA to perform an on-site correction in response to a finding. On-Site corrections will be noted in the Public Report.



MS Application and Reimbursement System (MARS)

- You must Complete the Off-site Assessment in MARS (this year deadline is October 31st). Please provide as much documentation as possible in MARS as part of off-site assessment)
- Your reviewer will use MARS to document all sections of the AR, including any TA or Findings issued
- You must respond to corrective Action in MARS



16

General Timeline for SNP Review, SY 24-25

- 1. Notification by SA Participation in Webinar
- 2. Completion of Off-Site Assessment in MARS (Due Oct 31st)
- 3. Confirmation Letter from SA (sent approximately a month prior to on site)
- 4. Follow up and communication from Lead Review
- 5. Virtual Entrance Conference
- 6. On-Site Review of Selected Sites (scheduled in advance)
- 7. Virtual Exit Conference
- 8. Findings or No Findings Letter
- 9. (if applicable) Corrective Action Plan
- 10. (if applicable) Closing Letter
- 11. Public report posted on SA website



Compiling Required Documentation

A complete guide to getting all your documentation in order



General Documentation You Will Need Organization and Preparation are key!

- Hint: If you really want your reviewer to be in a good mood, having everything extremely well organized and electronic documents clearly labeled!
- Before your AR, you should get a list of all the documentation you will need for the AR from your Lead Reviewer or Program Specialist.
- If you don't get it, ask for it!

See Handout



General Documentation You Will Need

Document Checklist









DO NOT send any sensitive information (including student names, eligibility status, or other personally identifiable information) over email or upload this information in MARS!



Pulling and Organizing Meal Applications

IF APPLICABLE – What the SA will Need

- Randomized Sample List of Free and Reduced (NO PAID)
 - List of pulled students using specific, randomized interval. Can get interval from Lead Reviewer
 - Make sure you have pulled a large enough sample!
- Alphabetized stack of student applications
 - corresponding with list of students pulled in randomized sample of free/red
- Alphabetized DC list
 - all school sites on one list, if possible
- 10 paid/denied applications
 - separate from Free/Red

See Handout for instructions and Examples!





Pulling a Random Sample

Step 1: Put names of all free/red students in alphabetical order

- Step 2: Make sure no paid students are in your list
- Step 3: Obtain a starting point and a randomized interval from your lead reviewer
- Step 4: Locate Your starting point and highlight the name
- Step 5: Count down the randomized interval and highlight the name
- Step 6: Repeat until you have highlighted (pulled) enough students for the sample. Make sure you pull enough!



LAST	FIRST	CATEGORY
Baggins	Frodo	Free
Brady	Tom	Reduced
Brees	Drew	Reduced
Bunny	Easter	Categorically Eligible (Direct Certification)
Christ	Jesus	Free
Clause	Santa	Free
Doe	Jane	Categorically Eligible (SNAP)
Farve	Brett	Reduced
Goose	Mother	Free
Joe	GI	Free
Johns	Рара	Categorically Eligible (SNAP)
Jones	Jimmy	Free
Longstocking	Рірру	Free
Nye	Bill	Free
Redenbacher	Orville	Reduced
Sippy	Missy	Categorically Eligible (Direct Certification)
Skywalker	Luke	Reduced
Smith	Joe	Free
Smith	John	Reduced
Spunkmeyer	Otis	Free
Suess	Doctor	Free
Tollhouse	Nestle	Reduced

Pulling a Random Sample

- From this list of names, we have only pulled 6 names (representing 6 applications) that will be reviewed.
- In this example, the starting point was 5 and the randomized interval was 3, so we started on #5 and counted every 3rd person.
- You <u>MUST</u> get your randomized interval and starting point from your SA Lead Reviewer.



24

Starting Point 5, highlight the fifth name

LAST	FIRST	CATEGORY
Baggins	Frodo	Free
Brady	Tom	Reduced
Brees	Drew	Reduced
Bunny	Easter	Categorically Eligible (Direct Certification)
Christ	Jesus	Free
Clause	Santa	Free
Doe	Jane	Categorically Eligible (SNAP)
Farve	Brett	Reduced
Goose	Mother	Free
Joe	GI	Free
Johns	Papa	Categorically Eligible (SNAP)
Jones	Jimmy	Free
Longstocking	Рірру	Free
Nye	Bill	Free
Redenbacher	Orville	Reduced
Sippy	Missy	Categorically Eligible (Direct Certification)
Skywalker	Luke	Reduced
Smith	Joe	Free
Smith	John	Reduced
Spunkmeyer	Otis	Free
Suess	Doctor	Free
Tollhouse	Nestle	Reduced



Random Interval 3: Count down every third name and highlight

LAST	FIRST	CATEGORY
Baggins	Frodo	Free
Brady	Tom	Reduced
Brees	Drew	Reduced
Bunny	Easter	Categorically Eligible (Direct Certification)
Christ	Jesus	Free
Clause	Santa	Free
Doe	Jane	Categorically Eligible (SNAP)
Farve	Brett	Reduced
Goose	Mother	Free
Joe	GI	Free
Johns	Papa	Categorically Eligible (SNAP)
Jones	Jimmy	Free
Longstocking	Рірру	Free
Nye	Bill	Free
Redenbacher	Orville	Reduced
Sippy	Missy	Categorically Eligible (Direct Certification)
Skywalker	Luke	Reduced
Smith	Joe	Free
Smith	John	Reduced
Spunkmeyer	Otis	Free
Suess	Doctor	Free
Tollhouse	Nestle	Reduced



Conducting a Nutrient Analysis

- We will analyze your planned menu
- If you experience shortages due to supply chain, we will take this into account, IF they are WELL DOCUMENTED!
- Substitutions must be reasonable!
- Watch those veg subgroup and whole grain substitutions!





Conducting a Nutrient Analysis

- The SA will need to validate the nutrient analysis of your menus as part of the AR
- If your District uses nutrient analysis software, there are two reports the SA needs to see:

1. Nutrient Report

- Provides a breakdown of nutrient information menu items
 - Ex: Calories, sodium, added sugars, trans fat, saturated fats

2. Component Report

- Detail breakdown of the required food components offered on the menu
 - Ex: Fruits, vegetables, grain, meat/ meat alternates, fluid milk





28



Example of a Nutrient Report

MS Department of Education

Jan 8, 2024 thru Jan 12, 2024

Base Menu Spreadsheet

Weighted Values - Detailed

Page 3

		Portion Size	Reimb Qty	Cals (kcal)	Cholst (mg)	Sodm (mg)	Fiber (g)	Iron (mg)	Calcm (mg)	Vit-A (IU)	Vit-C (mg)	Sugars (q)	Protn (g)	Carb (q)	T-Fat (g)	S-Fat (q)	Tr-Fat¹ (g)
Fri - 01/12/2024				,										(3/	(3/		
Lunch k-5		Total	263														
Walking Tacos M109	5	1 taco	250	396		745	4.62	2.45	160.0	*563	10.29	5	16.95	35.22	20.45	7.09	0.00
Whole Kernel Corn, C	CND M6010	1/2 cup	200	65	0	77	1.33	*0.03	*0.5	*93	*0.02	5	1.32	11.17	2.66	0.56	0.00
Ranch-Style Black Be		1/2 cup	125	75		120	5.71	1.59	35.3	303	4.27	0	4.95	13.68	0.3	0.07	0.00
Fresh Fruit Bowl Vari	ety M6715	1 each	150	48		1	2.08	0.13	11.5	68	13.19	7	0.5	12.39	0.14	0.03	0.00
Fruit Cocktail M6735		1/2 cup	125	27			0.67	0.18	4.9	142	1.83	6	0.24	7.09	0.04	0.01	0.00
Milk, FF White1/2 pt.		1/2 pint	100	30		46	0.00	0.00	108.4		0.46	4	3.04	4.18	0.0	0.00	0.00
Milk, FF Choc 1/2 pin		1/2 pint	125	52		102	0.00	0.00	95.1	356	*N/A*	8	3.8	9.03	0.0	0.00	0.00
Sour Cream PC M81		1 each	100	21	6	9	0.00	0.02	11.9	62	0.1	0	0.22	0.31	2.13	1.24	0.00
Sauce, Taco. PC M82		1 packet	150	3		55	0.05	0.05	0.7	0	15.65	0	0.05	0.61	0.01	0.00	0.00
Weighted Daily Avera	age			718	56	1157	14.47	*4.44	*428.1	*1778	*45.80	36	31.08	93.68	25.74	9.00	0.00
% of Calories												20.2%	17.3%	52.2%	32.3%	11.3%	0.0%
Nutrient Guideline				550-650		1230										<10.00	
Weighted Average				602	51	1132	8.51	*3.57	*347.9	*1576	*35.11	36	27.38	85.36	17.91	5.52	0.00
weighted Average				002	51	1132	0.51	5.57	547.9	1570	35.11	53.1%	18.2%	56.7%	26.8%	8.2%	0.00
												00.170	10.270	00.170	20.070	0.270	0.070
Nutrient	Menu AVG	% of Cals	Weekly Ta	arget %	of Target	Miss Data	Shortfa	all Ov	rerage	Error Mes	sages (if a	anv)					
Calories	602		550 ·	- 650	100%												
Cholesterol (mg)	51																
Sodium 1 (mg)	1132			1230													
Sodium 1a (mg)	1132			1110					22	Correction	Required	I - Sodium	too High				
Fiber (g)	8.51												U				
Iron (mg)	3.57					Missing											
Calcium (mg)	347.9					Missing											
Vitamin À (IÚ)	1576					Missing											
Sugars (g)	36	23.58%				Ũ											
Vitamin C (mg)	35.11					Missing											
Protein (g)	27.38	18.18%				-											
Carbohydrate (g)	85.36	56.70%															
Total Fat (g)	17.91	26.76%															
Saturated Fat (g)	5.52	8.24%	<10	.00%													
Trans Fat ¹ (g)	0.00	0.00%															





Lunch k-5

Example of Weekly Component Report

Page 1 Weekly Certification Worksheet															
C - Lunch 9-12															
5 Day Week	Mon 4/1/19	Tue 4/2/19	Wed 4/3/19	Thu 4/4/19	Fri 4/5/19			Weekly Total	Weekly Rqmt.	Weekly Rqmt. Check	Weekly Fruit Juice Limit Check (<= half of	Total Weekly Fruit	Total Weekly Fruit Juice	% of Total Weekly Fruit that is Juice	Weekly Rqmt. Check
Fruit: Minimum (cups)	1	1	1	1	1			5	5	Yes	total fruit)	5	0	0.00%	Yes
Vegetables: Minimum (cups)	1.375	1.125	1.25	1.5	1			6.25	5	Yes			Total Weekly Veg. Juice	% of Total Weekly Veg. that is Juice	Weekly Rqmt. Check
-Dark Green	0.5	0.5	0.25	0	0.5			1.75	0.5	Yes	Weekly Vegetable				
-Red/Orange	0.25	0.75	1	0.5	0.375			2.875	1.25	Yes	Juice Limit	Weekly			
-Legumes	0	0.5	0	0	0			0.5	0.5	Yes	Check (<=	check (<= Veg. alf of total Veg)			
-Starchy	0.5	0	0	0	0.5			1	0.5	Yes					
-Other	0.625	0.625	0.625	1	0.625			3.5	0.75	Yes		7.875	0	0.00%	Yes
Meat/Meat Alt: Minimum (oz eq)	2	2	2.25	2	2			10.25	10	Yes					
Meat/Meat Alt: Maximum (oz eq)	2.5	3	2.75	2	4.25			14.5	12	OVER					
Grain: Minimum (oz eq)	2	2	2	2	2			10	10	Yes					
Grain: Maximum (oz eq)	2.75	2.5	3	3	3			14.25	12	OVER					
Gra	in Based D	essert Tot	al for all we	eekly meals	6			0	No more than 2 oz	Yes					
Whole Grain Rich Weekly Amount	Weekly Grains Total	34.25	Weekly Whole Grain Rich Total	35.25	% of Whole Grain Rich	103%			100% whole grain rich	Yes					
	Mon 4/1/19	Tue 4/2/19	Wed 4/3/19	Thu 4/4/19	Fri 4/5/19			Weekly Total	Weekly Rqmt.	Weekly Rqmt. Check					
Milk: Minimum (cups)	3	3	3	3	3			15	5	Yes					
Variety: Skim/Fat-free unflavored, Skim/fat-free flavored, Low-fat(1% or less) unflavored	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes										
Low-fat(1% or less), flavored]							
Reduced fat(2%) or whole, unflavored and flavored]							



Conducting a Nutrient Analysis

- Make sure you have the most up to date version of MRS recipes!
- We still see a lot of MRS 2015 Recipes
- Make sure you are using the MRS Recipes currently on the MRS website
 - Distribute the updated recipes to your Managers/Cooks
 - Use the November 2023 MRS data when running your reports in your Nutrient Analysis Software





Providing Financial Reports



- The SA will need to perform a Resource Management Review based on the results of your completed Risk Assessment (off-site assessment in MARS)
- You may be required to provide financial reports including:
 - General Ledger
 - □ FETS report
 - Indirect cost documentation

NOTE: you may need to get your business manager to provide you with these financial reports





Common Findings

During a Previous AR Cycle



Common Findings during Administrative Reviews (AR's) 34

- Meal Pattern Compliance
- Meal Counting and Claiming
- Certification and Benefits Issuance
- Dietary Specification and Nutrient Analysis
- Food Safey



Self Assessment

Not required, but an effective tool to set yourself up for success!



Conducting a Self Assessment

- In order to prepare yourself and your staff for the best possible outcome with an AR, we suggest you conduct a self-assessment before MDE/OCN conducts the AR.
- The Self-Assessment is **completely voluntary!** It is only meant as a preparation tool.
- The following slides contain common errors/findings that are uncovered over the course of an AR. If you are able to identify and correct these errors before your review, you will decrease the number of findings and/or TA issued by the Reviewer.






See the Handout



Does tracking tool have all USDA required elements: name/hire date/title/core

- Are Agendas, Sign-In Sheets, and Certificates of Completion maintained on file?
- Are all items sold (vending/cafeteria/school store) smart snack compliant?
- Do you have documentation of smart snack compliance on file for each item sold? Are all of your vending machines locked or timed to prohibit purchases within an hour prior
- Do any of your vending machines "advertise" non-compliant products such as high calorie
- All five components offered on each line?
- Each component available in the proper quantity according to Meal Pattern? (ex: need a full
- Is denial of food used as a punishment? All students should have equal access to meals.

is FIFO implemented properly (rotating stock) (re you over-stocked on products, especially Commodity Products?

Most recent food inspections available to Reviewer (2 Per Year or documentation of

ment Works Properly (functioning coolers/warmers, no ice build up in freezer) P manual on site and being followed?

perature logs (freezer/cooler/dry storage/prepped food) maintained and available

n-reimbursable meals getting past the POS? er understand what constitutes a reimbursable meal and can he/she verbalize signage posted at each serving line? School sites implemented OVS? aff at OVS sites pre-plating all items on trays as students move through the

saturated fat, and sodium on target for the Review Week? all menu items in your nutrient analysis? (don't forget your condiments!)



0



District Level

Self Assessment



Prior ARs

District Level – Includes all Sites in the District

Did you have any findings on last AR? Have they been corrected?

Did you have any TA on last AR? Have all issues been corrected?





Resource Management

District Level – Includes all Sites in the District

- □ Is your indirect cost calculated correctly and applied evenly across all Federal Programs in your district?
- □Do you have an excess balance in the school food service account? (must have less than 3 months operating expenses)
- □Are you charging enough money for each "extra sale" item?
- □Have you had a General Financial Audit Recently? Were there any findings, and if so have all issues been corrected?
- □Have you analyzed adult meal prices to ensure you are charging enough? (*the SA will send a memo out every year*)





Civil Rights

District Level – Includes all Sites in the District



Do you have the LONG version on all eligibility letters?

□ Is the font size at least the size of the body of the letter?

□Have you conducted staff training on civil rights?

□Have you conducted outreach to inform families of availability of CN programs and are copies on file?

□Have you received any civil rights complaints?

□ Is the AJFA poster prominently displayed where meals are served?







Wrong AJFA Poster

Incorrect Versions of the Poster







and the state of the second state of the secon Reproduce Collection and expendence encounters. In a manufacture of an encounter manufacture and the associational matic infection copies and applicability according to following the prior station and and a final structure instant and a state of distantiation .

Print and an inc. president and the state of the and a decision design type angular for phone larger responses to a state of the April of the April 1998 and any set a state with the transfer of the April 1998 and the angular is a state with the transfer of the April 1998 and the angular is a state of the April 1998 of the April 1998 and angular is a state of the April 1998 of the April 1998 and angular is a state of the April 1998 of the April 1998 and angular is a state of the April 1998 of the April 1998 and and april 1998 and april 1998 and april 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and appendix 1998 and 1998 a and The answer (Dire based) is a basis from both of sets (Thinks increased), import the set of a set of the set of the basis from the set of the set.

Table 1 defenders Bauers and Printers in some the stability Property description of the property of the state of the characteristic of the property of the A projection of the second se second sec industry and an entering the state of the st

And to be interview of Agencies These of the Associated Security on other Signal 1-52 Automation of Article 254 Print and 15 Automatical Control of State

Thereas

which is ever the share of

Protocol & Construction and

has a figure in a support of a strategy and

(iii) and dependents. Any start, the approximation of the restored representation of an experimental data of the second start of the second sta

La company desta della serviziani restra entire of the complete state of the state of the state of the

(2) The space Sector of the space of the sector of the space of the

The second strength in the second sec

Restored

carrie de branci.



MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Wrong Non-Discrimination Statement

This menu has the wrong statement



	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	1	FRIDAY
	EVERY MEAL INCLUDES OPTIONAL ½ PINT MILK ½ CUP 100% FRUIT JUICE	THIS FACILITY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER				Cheese Piz Hot Dog Chef Salad Succotash WG Chips Milk/Juice WG Rolls Fruit
4	Baked Chicken WG Grilled Cheese Chef Salad Brown Rice/Gravy Kidney Beans Fruit Juice/Milk	⁵ Chicken Spaghetti Hamburger Chef Salad Sautéed Squash Brown Sugar Carrots Rolls/Fruit Milk/ Juice	⁶ OVEN FRIED CHICKEN Baked Ham Chef Salad Black Eye Peas Collard Greens Mac & Cheese Cornbread	7 Baked Spaghetti Chicken Nuggets Chef Salad Corn Steamed Broccoli Fruit/WG Rolls Milk Juice	8	Chili Cheese Cheese Quesadilla Baked Fries Spinach Sala Juice/ Fruit
11	Crispy Chicken Sandwich	¹ Enchilada Casserole	Milk/ Juice ¹³ Baked Chicken Ham & Swiss	14 Mini Corn Dogs Baked Chicken broast	15	Pepperoni Pizza



On Site Monitoring



District Level – Includes all Sites in the District

□Have all sites been monitored by the SFA?

□Has the SFA used the SA-approved checklist for onsite monitoring?





Professional Development



District Level – Includes all Sites in the District

□Have all staff accrued the correct number of Hours?

- □ 12 hours for CND
- □ 10 hours for Managers
- □ 6 hours for FT Staff / 4 hours for PT Staff

Do you have an updated/current tracking tool for staff professional development?

- □ Are all staff on one sheet, showing the total hours accrued for each person?
- Does tracking tool have all USDA required elements: name/hire date/title/core duties/ FT or PT status

Are Agendas, Sign-In Sheets, and Certificates of Completion maintained on file?





Employee Name	Site		Employee	Require d Hours of Training		Avg. Hrs per week		NAO July 26, 2022	ICN Online Safety Training Aug 2, 2022	Back to School Prof Dev Aug 3, 2022	Training	Completed Training Hours Year to Date
Helena Troy		4/12/2018		12	FT	40		8	3	8	2022-23	19
Jane Doe	High School	8/6/1997	Manager	10	FT	40	8		3	8	2022-23	19
Missy Sippy	High School	12/3/2012	Caf. Staff	6	FT	40			3	8	2022-23	11
John Deer*	High School	1/2/2023	Caf. Staff	6	PT	20					2022-23	0

*PLEASE NOTE: John Deer is a newly hired employee who hasn't received any training yet this SY. The SFA will have to submit a plan to the SA Reviewer for how he will receive training to reach his 6 required hours this SY.



Record Keeping

District Level – Includes all Sites in the District

□Records maintained for 3 years plus the current year □Far back as the base year for CEP and Prov 2





Site Level

Self Assessment



Vending and Smart Snacks

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

- Are all items sold (vending/cafeteria/school store) smart snack compliant?
- □Do you have documentation of smart snack compliance <u>on file</u> for <u>each</u> item sold?
- □Are all of your vending machines locked or timed to prohibit purchases within an hour prior to meal service?
- Do any of your vending machines "advertise" noncompliant products such as high calorie soft-drinks? (this is not allowable)







Snack sold is NOT Smart Snack Compliant

Tip: Use the Smart Snack Calculator from the Alliance for a Healthier Generation





Amount Per Serving	
Calories 260 Calories from	n Fat 13
% [Daily Valu
Total Fat 15g	239
Saturated Fat 9g	449
Trans Fat 0g	
Cholesterol 60mg	20
Sodium 80mg	3
Total Carbohydrate 28g	99
Dietary Fiber 1g	3
Sugars 23g	
Protein 4g	
Vitamin A 10% • Vitamin	C 0%
Calcium 15% · Iron 4%	i.

	ct is NOT compliant.
Your non-beverage following nutrient st	e dairy product does not meet the tandards:
X Calories exceed	200.
X Calories from to	tal fat exceed 35%.
	aturated fat are not less than 10%.
X Sodium exceeds	s 200 mg.
Brand	Product
Ben & Jerry's	Dublin mudslide

to students on campus should verify a product's



Food Prep

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

□Recipes out and referenced?

□Hands washed?

□Hairnets/beard-nets on?



Food Safety

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

□Are 2 Most recent food inspections available to Reviewer

2 Per Year or documentation of request to MSDH

Equipment Works Properly

□ functioning coolers/warmers,

 $\hfill\square$ no ice build up in freezer

□HACCP manual on site and being followed?

□Are temperature logs maintained and available for review?

□ Freezers

□ Coolers (don't forget milk coolers!)

Dry Storage

Prepped Food

Remember that Food Safety is one of our most common findings!





Food Safety - Storage

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

□Is FIFO implemented properly (rotating stock)

Are you over-stocked on products, especially Commodity Products?

□Are all food products correctly dated (visible, all 3 dates)?

□Are chemicals stored separately from food?

NOTE: Food Storage is considered part of Food Safety.

Remember that Food Safety is one of our most common findings!





Food Safety Issue









FIFO not Implemented

No Visible Dates









Components and Quantities

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

□ Proper size serving spoon/ladle/instrument used?

□All required components offered on each line?

Each component available in the proper quantity according to Meal Pattern? (ex: offering a full cup of fruit at HS lunch; all levels offered a full cup of fruit at breakfast)

□ Is denial of food used as a punishment? All students should have equal access to meals.

Remember that this section was our second most common finding!





Water Cannot Compete With Milk

Milk should be on the line BEFORE water. Should NEVER be in same cooler.







Production Records

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review



- Do production records document all meals claimed?
- □Are production records reviewed regularly by the CND?
- Are managers correctly documenting components and quantities?
- □Are managers serving the PLANNED menu?





Offer Versus Serve (OVS)

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review





- □Are any non-reimbursable meals getting past the POS?
- Does cashier understand what constitutes a reimbursable meal and can he/she verbalize that to the Reviewer?

□ Is there OVS signage posted at each serving line?

□Are serving staff at OVS sites pre-plating all items on trays as students move through the line? (not allowed!)





Improper Implementation of OVS

Non-Reimbursable Lunch Tray





Improper Implementation of OVS

Lunch tray is NOT reimbursable at the HS level, but would be ok for Elementary







Dietary Specs & Nutrient Analysis

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

- □(if applicable) Are you following what is in your meal pattern waiver? Is your waiver even applicable to this serving method?
- Are your calories and saturated fat on target for the Review Week?
- □Are you including all menu items in your nutrient analysis? (don't forget your condiments!)
- □When conducting a nutrient analysis using nutrient analysis software, have you correctly entered planned/prepared quantities of each food item to give you correct weighted values? (example: are you <u>really</u> only serving 100 hamburgers? Check your production books!)

Are your cafeteria managers/sites producing and serving the PLANNED menu?





Not in compliance with Meal Pattern

May have a waiver for sodium, but calories are too low!

MS Department of Education



Planned Menu S	Spreadsheet										Lunch 9-
Neighted Value	s - Detailed										003 - 🔛 💷 Middle Sch
Page 3											Generated on: 4/12/2019 3:55:53
			Portion	Plan	Cals	Sodm	Sugars	Carb	S-Fat	Tr-Fat ¹	
			Size	Qty	(kcal)	(mg)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)	1
Fri - 04/05/2019											-
Lunch 9-12			Total	500	~				-		
Chicken & Sausage			2/3 cup w/rice	400	244	539	*1	26.7	2.07	0.00	
Bacon Burger 2 oz F	attyMS702		1 each	50	34	68	1	3.34	0.53	0.00	
Chef Salad, MS600	404007		1 salad	50	20	119	1	1.68	0.39	0.00	
Baked Ranch Fries			1/2 cup	170	44	154	0	7.25	0.18	0.00	
Baked Beans MS918			1/2 cup	170	54 13	55	4	12.43	0.04	0.00	
Tomato/Cucumbr Sa			1/2 cup	150		22	3	3.35	0.01	0.00	
Fresh Blueberries M			1/2 cup	170	18	0	3	4.47	0.01	0.00	
Fresh Apple MS1200			1 each	150	22	0	4	5.72	0.01	0.00	
Cornbread 2 WG MS			1 piece	250 150	102 36	293	3	17.71	0.91	0.00 0.00	
Milk, FF Choc 1/2 pt			1/2 pint			72 37	9	6.6	0.00		
Milk, FFStrwbr,1/2 pt Milk, LF White 1/2 pt			1/2 pint 1/2 pint	150 100	45 20	24	9	8.7 2.2	0.00 0.30	0.00 0.00	
Weighted Daily Aver			1/2 pin	100	651	1384	*38	100.16	4.44	0.00	
% of Calories	age				001	1504	*23.4%	61.5%	6.1%	0.0%	
Nutrient Guideline					750-850	1420			<10.00		
			1								-
Weighted Average					603	1180	*33	80.17	6.63	0.00	
							*49.3%	53.2%	9.9%	0.0%	
Nutrient	Menu AVG	% of Cals	Weekly Target	% of Target		a Sho	rtfall	Sverage		essages (if	
Calories	603		750 - 850	80%		\leq	147		Correct	ion Require	red - Calories are Low
Sodium 1 (mg)	1180		1420								
Sodium 2 (mg)	1180		1080					100	Correct	ion Require	<mark>red - Sodium too High</mark>
Sugars (g)	33	21.91%			Missing						
Carbohydrate (g)	80.17	53.19%									
Saturated Fat (g)	6.63	9.89%	<10.00%								
Trans Fat ¹ (g)	0.00	0.00%			1						



Apr 1, 2019 thru Apr 5, 2019

After School Care Program (ASCP)

64

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

- □AJFA poster at point of service delivery? (may need to be in the classroom or on a cart)
- □Are educational or enrichment activities offered directly before/during/after the distribution of snacks?
- □Is a system in place to provide ACCURATE meal counts?

Do you have a system in place to safely store food products? (for example, you don't want milk sitting outside of a cooler for too long)





Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program (FFVP)

Site Level – Includes all Sites selected by the SA for Review

□Are you purchasing only allowable products?

Remember that dips for fruits are not allowable

Remember that dips for veggies have to be no/low fat

Have you limited your administrative costs for the program?

□Are you serving only to elementary students?

Remember that teachers who pass out fruit/vegetables are allowed to partake so as to model positive eating habits





FFVP Unallowable Cost

Cannot purchase any type of dip for fruits











In accordance with Federal law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) only rights regulations and policies, this institution is prohibited from discriminaring on the basis of race, color, national origin, sar, age, disability, and reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.)

Program information may be made available in larguages other than English. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Brailie, Jarge print, audicatose, and American Sign Language) should contact the reported to State or I coal Agency that administers the program or USAbit TAPAEET contents at (2027 2024-2000) (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Fademi Relay Service at (200) gr77-4209.

To file a program discrimination complaint, a complaint should complete a Form AD-2027, USA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, which can be obtained or fine, at <u>https://www. concursds.gov/data/sdisub/files/USAC-0ASS/RtS4207-Complaint-Form-0008-0002-001-11-21-177a/Mail.pdf, from any USAA folics, by calling (1966) 525-9902, corb writing a latest address data/sst, tais/shorts or united, and the complainant's name, address, tais/shorts for child fights violation. The complainant's name allogad discriminatory action in sufficient data to inform the aflegad discriminatory action in sufficient data to inform the data of an allegad child fights violation. The complained AD-3027 form or lates must be automated to USAA by:</u>

mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; or fasc

(833) 256-1665 or (202) 690-7442; email: program.intake@usda.gov. This institution is an equal opportunity provider

Forn AD-475-A-Anthing Posts of Revised September 20

Conforme a la lay fadoral y las políticas y regulaciones de derechos civilas del Depritamento de Agricubars de los Estados de la desta esta instrución intere portibido descrimente por motivos de mars, color, origen nacional, sexo, odad, discapacistad, yrunganza o representais por a cividades realizades en el pasado relacionades con los derechos civides (no todos los críncipos de arrombibición aciónan a todos los porcemana).

La réomasión del programa puede estar disposible en otros ciórens adamias del rigilas. Las personas con discapacidades que requieran madeis de comunicación abarnativos para detarres información aobre al programa (por signic), Braila, laba agrandade, grabación de suelo y languaje de serse amoionon) deban comunicarios con la signica estutal o locar largonatale que adamico mácente de la famentina de la formación al BOLAs travia del 2780-2000 fora y 1171 y o comunicarias con al USDA is travia del Sancios Faderal de l'amanimida de la formación al BOLAs travia del 278-2000 fora y 1171 y o comunicarias con al USDA is travia del 278-2000 fora y 1171 y o comunicarias con al USDA is travia del 278-2000 fora y 1171 y o comunicaria con al USDA is travia del 278-2000 fora y 1171 y o comunicaria con al USDA is travia del 278-2000 fora y 1171 y o comunicaria con del USDA is travia del 278-2000 fora y 1171 y o comunicaria de la formación del BOLA de la del 277-2000.

Para presentar una queja por discriminación en el programa, el reclumante debe completar un formulario ALD 1007, Formulario de debe por en listención para su el debe de la debe por el la completar el completar de USDA DASC Pescel 2 completar E oran 0004, 1002, 4004, 1004,

correo postal: U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights 1400 Indepandence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; o

(833) 256-1665 o' (202) 690-7442; correo electrónico: program.intake@usda.gov. Esta institución ofrece igualdad de oportunidades.

Aliche complementate al Porrutate Al-273-4./ Revisede Soptient e 2010

Non-Discrimination 67

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

Full Non-Discrimination Statement link:

https://www.fns.usda.gov/civilrights/usda-nondiscriminationstatement-other-fns-programs





Questions?



Taquasia Hicks

Director of Monitoring thicks@mdek12.org







