Monitor's Name		Date of Revi	iew:	
District:		School:		
Student's Name:		Date of Birth:	Age:	Grade:
Eligibility Category:	Secondary Eligibility:		Eligibility Date:	
NOTES:				

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 C.F.R. or SBP 74.19	Record Review Question	Compliant	Evidence	Potential Source(s) of Documentation
CFI-1	300.300(a)(1)(i)	Did the public agency obtain informed consent from the parent of the child before conducting the evaluation?	YES	The file shows evidence of signed permission to conduct an evaluation.	MET meeting documentation form  Eligibility Determination
			NO	The file <b>does not</b> show evidence of signed permission to conduct an evaluation.	form
CFI-2	300.304(a)	Did the public agency provide notice to the parents that describes any evaluation procedures the agency proposes to conduct?	YES	The files shows evidence of documents describing the evaluation process and areas of proposed assessment.	Evaluation Plan
			NO	The files <b>does not</b> show evidence of documents describing the evaluation process and areas of proposed assessment.	
CFI-3	300.304(c)(4)	Did the public agency assess the child in all areas related to the	YES	All areas related to the suspected disability were assessed.	Evaluation Plan
		suspected disability, including, if appropriate, health, vision, hearing, social and emotional status, general intelligence, academic performance, communicative status and motor abilities?	NO	All areas related to the suspected disability were not assessed.	Evaluation Report  Eligibility Determination form

#### **RULE 74.19**

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 C.F.R. or SBP 74.19	Record Review Question	Compliant	Evidence	Potential Source(s) of Documentation
CFI-4	300.304(b) 300.304(c)	Did the public agency conduct the evaluations:  1) Using a variety of assessment tools and	YES	The public agency conducted the evaluations in accordance with CFR 300.304.	Eligibility Determination Form Evaluation reports
		strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental and academic information provided by the parent, that may assist in determining whether the child is a child with a disability;  2) Not use any single measure or assessment as the sole criterion for determining an appropriate educational program;  3) Use technically sound instruments that may assess cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors;  4) Are not discriminatory on a racial or cultural basis;  5) Provided and administered in the child's native language or other mode of communication;  6) Administered by trained and knowledgeable personnel in accordance	NO	The public agency failed to address one or more components for the evaluations in accordance with CFR 300.304.	Eligibility Criteria Form

	with any instructions provided by the producer of the assessment?			
--	---	--	--	--

**CHILD FIND – INITIAL EVALUATIONS ONLY** 

### RULE 74.19 ON-SITE MONITORING RECORD REVIEW FORM

Record Review Question	Compliant	Evidence	Potential Source(s) of Documentation
Did the public agency conduct the initial evaluation within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for the evaluation?  Exemptions to the timeframe are: A parent repeatedly fails or refuses to make a child available for the evaluation;  A child enrolls in a school of another public agency after an evaluation has begun, but prior to determining eligibility; or  The public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.  The public agency is conducting an evaluation using a Response to Intervention (RtI) process and the data do not indicate the presence or absence of a disability after 60 calendar days and the parent and public agency	NO	Evaluations and reports are completed within 60 calendar days of the day the parent gives written consent for an initial evaluation on the consent forms except in the following situations: *Parents repeatedly fail or refuse to make their child available *Child transfers to a school in another agency after evaluations have begun, but before determinations of eligibility have been made *Evaluations are conducted using Rtl data and parents and public agency agree in writing to extend the timeframes.  All assessments were not completed within 60 calendar days of the day the parent gave written consent. [No exemptions apply.]  All assessments were completed, but the evaluation report(s) was not completed within 60 calendar days of the day the parent gave written consent. [No exemptions apply.]	Signed parental consent forms  Evaluation report(s) with dates report(s) were completed
	Did the public agency conduct the initial evaluation within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for the evaluation?  Exemptions to the timeframe are: A parent repeatedly fails or refuses to make a child available for the evaluation;  A child enrolls in a school of another public agency after an evaluation has begun, but prior to determining eligibility; or  The public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.  The public agency is conducting an evaluation using a Response to Intervention (RtI) process and the data do not indicate the presence or absence of a disability after 60 calendar days	Did the public agency conduct the initial evaluation within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for the evaluation?  Exemptions to the timeframe are: A parent repeatedly fails or refuses to make a child available for the evaluation; A child enrolls in a school of another public agency after an evaluation has begun, but prior to determining eligibility; or  The public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.  The public agency is conducting an evaluation using a Response to Intervention (Rtl) process and the data do not indicate the presence or absence of a disability after 60 calendar days	Did the public agency conduct the initial evaluation within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for the evaluation?  Exemptions to the timeframe are: A parent repeatedly fails or refuses to make a child available for the evaluation; A child enrolls in a school of another public agency after an evaluation has begun, but prior to determining eligibility; or  The public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation will be completed.  The public agency is conducting an evaluation will be completed.  The public agency is conducting an evaluation (Rtf) process and the data do not indicate the presence or absence of a disability after 60 calendar days of the day the parent gave written consent. [No exemptions apply.]

timeframe.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 C.F.R. or SBP 74.19	Record Review Question	Compliant	Evidence	Potential Source(s) of Documentation
CFI-6	300.8 300.301 300.304 300.305(a)(1)(2)	Did the public agency proceed with a referral for a comprehensive evaluation for a child with a suspected disability without delaying referral because	YES	The record shows that MET did not require the child to participate in the Rtl process when the child was suspected of having a disability under 34 CRF 300.8.	Teacher Support Team Documentation Referral form MET meeting
		the child has not participated in an Rtl process?	NO	The record shows contains information indicating that the LEA required the child to participate in the Rtl process when the child was suspected of having a disability.	Data from interventions (Progress monitoring screening data, etc. Evaluation report(s)
			NA	MET did not suspect the child of having an obvious disability.	Referral form Eligibility Determination Report
CFI-7	300-307 300.311(7)	Did the public agency proceed with a referral for a comprehensive evaluation for a child suspected of having a specific learning disability without delaying the referral because the child has not participated in an Rtl	YES	The record shows evidence of scientific research-based intervention data and provides a summary of the interventions that have been implemented prior to referral <b>or</b> during the evaluation process.	Teacher Support Team Documentation Referral form  MET meeting documentation forms
		process?	NO	The student record contains no evidence that interventions were provided to the child.	Data from interventions (Progress monitoring screening data, etc.)
			NA	Interventions are not warranted for this student. MET suspected this child of having an obvious disability.	Evaluation report(s) Referral form Eligibility Determination Report

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 C.F.R. or SBP 74.19	Record Review Question	Compliant	Evidence	Potential Source(s) of Documentation
CFI-8	SBP 300.301(b)	Did the public agency have a process for receiving and documenting verbal and written requests for a comprehensive evaluation from parent, public agency, teacher and/or Teacher Support Team?	NO	Child Find procedures are followed for receiving and documenting written and verbal requests for a comprehensive evaluation from (a) parents, (b) public agencies, and (c) TST committees.  Child Find procedures for documenting a written or verbal request are non-existent, insufficient, or inconsistent with SBP 72.19.  Child Find procedures for documenting a written or verbal request are not followed resulting in a failure to document requests received and/or responding to requests in a timely manner.	Child Find procedures (procedures manual)  MET referral forms  MET meeting documentation forms
CFI-9	SBP 300.301(b)(1)  Special Education Eligibility Determination pp. 291-329	Did the public agency hold MET meetings with the participation of appropriate members within 14 calendar days of receiving request to determine the need for comprehensive evaluations?	YES	All of the appropriate members, including parents and others knowledgeable of the child, are invited to participate in the MET meetings using available methods.  MET meetings occur within 14 calendar days of receiving requests for comprehensive evaluations.	Documentation of TST referrals with dates  Documentation of MET referrals with dates  Documentation of invitations to MET meetings  Records of MET meetings with dates of

		A decision is made whether or not to proceed with a comprehensive evaluations.	the meetings and list of participants.
	NO	The public agency fails to invite the parent and/or other appropriate MET members (i.e. special education teacher, regular education teacher with knowledge of student, psychometrists, psychologist, speech pathologist, school nurse, etc.) to participant in the MET meeting.	
		MET meeting did not occur within 14 calendar days of receiving requests for comprehensive evaluations.	

#### **RULE 74.19**

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 C.F.R. or SBP 74.19	Record Review Question	Compliant	Evidence	Potential Source(s) of Documentation
CFI-10	SBP 300-301(b)(1)(i)(a) 300-301(b)(1)(ii)(a) 300-301(b)(1)(iii)(a)	Did the public agency provide written notice of the committee's decision to the parent within 7 days of the MET meeting?	YES	There is evidence that the parent was given written notice of the MET committee's decision within 7 days of the meeting.	Written Prior Notice for Initial Evaluation  Written Prior Notice for
			NO	There is <b>no</b> evidence that the parent was given written notice of the MET committee's decision within 7 days of the meeting.	Refusal to Evaluate
CFI-11	SBP 300.306(a)(2)	Did the public agency provide parents all of the required documents 7 calendar days prior to the meeting to determine initial	YES	Copies of all eligibility reports are given to the parent at least 7 calendar days prior to meeting.	Records of MET meetings with dates of the meetings
		eligibility?  (For children transitioning from Part C required documents provided at transition meeting.)		Paperwork is available to prove that the parent waived the right for notice of meeting 7 calendar days prior to the meeting and/or paperwork is available to prove	Copies of Prior Written Notice provided to parents with dates provided
		provided at transition meeting.)		that the parent waived the right to have a copy of the eligibility report 7 calendar days prior to the meeting.	Documentation of provision of Procedural Safeguards Notices with dates provided
			NO	The public agency did not provide parents all of the required documents, and/or did not meet the required 7 calendar days prior to the MET meeting	Records of transition planning conferences from Part C to B.
				unless there is documentation to show that the parent waived that right.	Record of IEP or IFSP in place by 3 <sup>rd</sup> birthday
				The public agency provides parents the WPN on the same day of the MET meeting	

#### ON-SITE MONITORING RECORD REVIEW FORM CHILD FIND – INITIAL EVALUATIONS ONLY

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 C.F.R. or SBP 74.19	Record Review Question	Compliant	Evidence	Potential Source(s) of Documentation
CFI-12  Preschool Initial Evaluation Only	300.124 300.321(f)	For children transitioning from Part C, did the public agency utilize child information from the Individual Family Service Plan (IFSP) and other documentation provided by First Steps Early Intervention in suspecting or when determining eligibility for Part B supports and services?	NO NA	Information from Part C must be documented and can include: Observations in more than one setting and in multiple activities; Interviews (information provided by parents or caregiver); Results of evaluations. There is no evidence the data indicated above is documented as part of the decision-making process for suspecting a disability or determining eligibility. The child is not transitioning from C to B.	First Steps Early Intervention Forms  Records from the Transition Conference  Evaluation Team Report  Referral Form  Prior Written Notice
CFI-13	300.323(e)(2)(f)(1) (Transfer from out- of-state)	Did the public agency conduct an evaluation (if determined to be necessary) for a student that transferred from an out-of-state agency?	YES	There is evidence the public agency conducted an evaluation and/or reviewed prior evaluation data to determine the student is eligible for special education services.  There is no evidence	Previous Eligibility Report from Out-of- State Eligibility Determination Form Evaluation reports
			.,,	the public agency	Eligibility Criteria Form

Revised March 2019 Child Find Initial Page **10** of **11** 

		conducted an evaluation and/or reviewed prior	Signed parental consent forms
		evaluation data to	
		determine the student is	
		eligible for special	
		education services.	